Advances in Geo-Energy Research

Perspective

Mechanisms in CO₂-enhanced coalbed methane recovery process

Mohammad Asif¹, Lei Wang^{2®}*, Rui Wang², Heng Wang², Randy D. Hazlett¹

¹School of Mining and Geosciences, Nazarbayev University, Nur-Sultan 010000, Kazakhstan ²College of Energy, State Key Laboratory of Oil and Gas Reservoir Geology and Exploitation, Chengdu University of Technology, Chengdu 610059, P. R. China

Keywords:

CO₂ injection enhanced coalbed methane recovery competitive adsorption van der Waals constant

Cited as:

Asif, M., Wang, L., Wang, R., Wang, H., Hazlett, R. D. Mechanisms in CO₂-enhanced coalbed methane recovery process. Advances in Geo-Energy Research, 2022, 6(6): 531-534. https://doi.org/10.46690/ager.2022.06.09

Abstract:

Injection of CO₂ and subsequent desorption of CH₄ is considered to be the most efficient enhanced coalbed methane (ECBM) recovery technique to date. Meanwhile, CO₂-ECBM is an excellent option for CO₂ geo-sequestration for an extended period. Despite ongoing research efforts and several field applications of this technology, the mechanisms of the process have yet to be fully understood. The coalbed heterogeneity, the fluid interactions with coal, the CO_2 induced swelling, and the continuous pressure and composition changes require outright insights for optimal application of the technique. Furthermore, intermolecular interactions of CO2 and CH4, their competitive adsorption on the dry/wet coal surface, and the dispersion and advection processes play an important role in defining the CO₂-ECBM recovery process. An attempt has been made here to understand the key mechanisms of CO_2 -ECBM recovery in coalfields, particularly the adsorption of CO_2 in the supercritical state at the recommended sequestration depth.

1. Introduction

Coalbed methane (CBM) is an important unconventional gas resource under development today (Muther et al., 2022). Nevertheless, 60% to 80% of the adsorbed CBM emits into atmosphere during coal mining (Prabu and Mallick, 2015; Serikov et al., 2022), creating explosion risks, which is traditionally diluted by ventilation. Most of the CBM, e.g., as high as 98%, is adsorbed onto the internal pores with the rest remaining as free gas in cleats of dry coal seams (Naveen et al., 2017; Asif et al., 2019a). The content of gas generally increases with the coal maturity and the depth, i.e., deep high-rank coalbeds contain more gas than shallow coalbeds; however, deep coalbeds are challenging to mine with current technologies (Godec et al., 2014). Unmineable coalbeds, along with basalt formation, saline aquifers, and depleted oil and gas reservoirs, are considered as prospective sites for CO₂ storage to mitigate the rising greenhouse gas level (Bachu, 2000; Naveen et al., 2018; Asif et al., 2022b). CO₂ injection for

enhanced coalbed methane (ECBM), as illustrated in Fig. 1(a), is an effective means to improve the storage economics in coal reservoirs by enhancing CH₄ production and ultimate recovery (Mazzotti et al., 2009). For example, Zheng et al. (2022) reported incremental CH₄ desorption of $\sim 14\%$ -26% from coal powder columns by CO_2 flooding with nuclear magnetic resonance monitoring as compared to natural depressurization; Zhang et al. (2023) tested CO₂-ECBM in a meter-scale coal specimen box and obtained enhancements of $\sim 24\%$ -27% on the basis of conventional CBM recovery. Typically, gases injected for ECBM include N_2 and CO_2 . Compared to N_2 , CO_2 is preferable owing to its much higher adsorption affinity and higher recovery ability, in addition to future windfalls associated with CO₂ mitigation. Also, CO₂ injection results in better sweep efficiency, whereas N₂ injection causes rapid deformations (Schepers et al., 2010). To date, there still exists a knowledge gap regarding ECBM recovery mechanisms due to complex fluid-solid interacting physics within pores and cleats under reservoir conditions (Asif et al., 2022a).

Yandy Scientific Press

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: masifkhan92@gmail.com (M. Asif); wanglei@cdut.edu.cn (L. Wang); wangrui0811@stu.cdut.edu.cn (R. Wang); hwang19@cdut.edu.cn (H. Wang); randy.hazlett@nu.edu.kz (R. D. Hazlett). 2207-9963 © The Author(s) 2022.

Received September 2, 2022; revised October 1, 2022; accepted October 15, 2022; available online October 20, 2022.



Fig. 1. Key mechanisms in the CO₂-ECBM recovery process (Fig. 1(b) modified after Perera et al. (2011b)).

2. Competitive adsorption in CO₂-CH₄ displacement

To fully understand the CO₂-ECBM recovery process, competitive adsorption in coal should be clarified (Qin et al., 2022). When coal interacts with CH₄ or CO₂, the imbalanced intermolecular forces between the coal atoms on surface enable attraction of other molecules, serving as potential adsorption sites. Competitive adsorption occurs given variations in affinity of different gases towards coal (Ottiger et al., 2008; Asif et al., 2019b). Without decreasing the overall reservoir pressure, the partial pressure of CH₄ can be decreased after CO₂ injection (Shi and Durucan, 2005; Perera et al., 2012), which leads to faster CH₄ desorption from coal surfaces.

2.1 CO₂ phase changes during injection

The recommended minimum depth of coal seams for CO₂ sequestration is around 800 meters, as deeper coal seams provide high enough pressure to keep CO₂ supercritical (Pashin and Mcintyre, 2003). There could exist an optimal CO_2 injection pressure in terms of CH₄ recovery and CO₂ storage from the wettability perspective in presence of water (Zheng et al., 2020). Injection modes of constant pressure or stepwise pressurization also affect CBM recovery (Bai et al., 2022). Fig. 1(b) shows the phase diagram of CO_2 with the supercritical pressure and temperature of 7.38 MPa and 31.8 °C. Both temperature and pressure of CO₂ increase with depth from surface conditions (point 1 of Fig. 1(b)) to reservoir conditions, e.g., in deep reservoirs, CO₂ can reach the supercritical state (viz. point 2), whereas in shallow reservoirs, CO₂ would remain as a gas with lower density and storage capacity (viz. point 3). Supercritical CO_2 has a more significant potential to displace CH₄ and acquires higher adsorption capacity than gas state (Perera et al., 2011a).

2.2 Van der Waals equation

The competitive adsorption of CO_2 over CH_4 on coal surface stems from their different physical and chemical properties. The smaller kinetic diameter of CO_2 (0.33 nm) in contrast with CH_4 (0.38 nm) allows CO_2 to enter into all pores where CH_4 molecules reside. In coal matrix, CO_2 or CH_4 molecules adhere to the coal molecules by the weak van der Waals force, their properties can be described by:

$$\left(P + \frac{a}{V^2}\right) \times (V - b) = RT \tag{1}$$

where *P* is pressure in atm, *T* is temperature in K, *V* is gas volume in L/mol and *R* is the gas constant in L·atm·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹. The van der Waals constant, *a*, is larger for CO₂ (3.658 L²atm/mol²) than CH₄ (2.30 L²atm/mol²), signifying that CO₂ is preferred over CH₄ for adsorption by molecular attraction. The molar volume of molecules, *b*, has close values for CO₂ and CH₄.

2.3 Competitive adsorption

The schematic diagram for the CO₂-ECBM displacement and competitive adsorption is shown in Fig. 1(c). The coadsorption isotherm of CO₂ and CH₄, drawn at the critical pressure and temperature of CO₂, is shown in Fig. 1(d), providing the information about the mole fraction of CO₂ needed for effective ECBM recovery. From Fig. 1(d), it can be deduced that at least 25% of CO₂ in gas phase is required for relatively efficient ECBM recovery, in other words, a 25%/75% CO₂/CH₄ mixture should be expected for the CO₂-ECBM recovery. Moreover, the CO₂ adsorption capacity is 2 to 10 times higher than CH₄ (Gaucher et al., 2011).

2.4 CO₂-ECBM displacement process

As briefly depicted in Fig. 1(e), competitive adsorption, dispersion/diffusion and advection play an important but tan-

gled role together in the displacement process. The dispersion of CO_2 and CH_4 happens in coal matrix during the gas molecule movement between matrix and cleat. In cleat, the advection of CO_2 and CH_4 becomes dominant due to CO_2 injection and movement of mixture (Raoof et al., 2013). The coupled advection and dispersion transport during CO_2 -ECBM can be quantified by the well-known advection-dispersion equation, as embodied by the breakthrough curve in Fig. 1(f).

2.5 Leakage risk

Adsorption of CO_2 to coal significantly reduces the chances of backward migration of CO_2 into atmosphere. The leakage of CO_2 may lead to severe accidents similar to the CO_2 gas eruption happened in Lake Nyos in Cameroon in 1986 (Kling et al., 1987). It's desirable to evaluate the fundamental properties of caprock, such as its mineralogy, strength and pore structure, as CO_2 induced reactions, e.g., with calcite, may increase its permeability (Zhang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2022).

2.6 Matrix swelling and shrinkage

Another key consequence of CO_2 injection into coal is coal matrix swelling, which has profound effects on CO₂ injection, displacement, spreading and sequestration. The matrix swelling or pore space shrinkage is also referred to as a gas sorption-induced strain that reduces the coal cleat width and pore sizes, thereafter decreasing coal porosity and permeability (Reucroft and Patel, 1986; Pekot and Reeves, 2002; Zhou et al., 2011). The sorption-induced strain can contribute up to 60% of the total variation of coal permeability during CBM production (Robertson and Christiansen, 2007; Lu and Connell, 2010). CO₂ influences coal permeability more dramatically than CH₄ on the same concentration basis, e.g., over 90% of permeability reduction could occur after CO₂ injection (Pekot and Reeves, 2002). On the contrary, coal matrix shrinkage happens during N2 injection, due to its lower adsorption than CH₄. Hence, injection of CO₂-N₂ mixture has been considered as a technical option for ECBM as N2 suppresses matrix swelling and permeability damage (Zhou et al., 2011).

3. Conclusions and remarks

CO₂-ECBM recovery is a technology that can improve CH₄ recovery as a bridge for energy transition and concurrently sequester CO₂, but knowledge gaps still exist in understanding competitive adsorption and its influential factors. Molecular dynamics is a fast-developing means suitable for visualizing and quantifying the relevant physics in nanoscale processes. Geomechanics, i.e., local changes in porosity and permeability affecting both dispersion and advection in the CO₂-ECBM process. Mineralogy of caprock should be analyzed to have a better strategy to minimize the risks of CO₂ leakage. Matrix swelling and shrinkage could dominate the permeability variation during the injection process, to balance the CO₂ and N₂ percentage becomes necessary for maintaining the injectivity. How injection and production parameters affect the recovery lacks of thorough investigation. On the whole, more in-depth analyses, experiments, and modeling of CO₂-CH₄-coal interactions are required to more adequately comprehend the promising CO₂-ECBM recovery technology before field implementation.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Nazarbayev University, Kazakhstan (Collaborative Research Project Reference: No. 021220CRP2022) for the financial support. Lei Wang thanks the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 52074040) and the Science & Technology Department of Sichuan Province (No. 2021YJ0355) for financial support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC-ND) license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

- Asif, M., Naveen, P., Panigrahi, D. C., et al. Adsorption isotherms of CO₂-CH₄ binary mixture using IAST for optimized ECBM recovery from sub-bituminous coals of Jharia coalfield: An experimental and modeling approach. International Journal of Coal Preparation and Utilization, 2019a, 39(8): 403-420.
- Asif, M., Panigrahi, D. C., Naveen, P., et al. Construction of high-pressure adsorption isotherm: A tool for predicting coalbed methane recovery from Jharia coalfield, India. International Journal of Mining Science and Technology, 2019b, 29(5): 765-769.
- Asif, M., Wang, L., Hazlett, R., et al. IAST modelling of competitive adsorption, diffusion and thermodynamics for CO₂-ECBM process. Paper SPE 209636 Presented at the SPE EuropEC-Europe Energy Conference, Madrid, Spain, 6-9 June, 2022a.
- Asif, M., Wang, L., Panigrahi, D. C., et al. Integrated assessment of CO₂-ECBM potential in Jharia Coalfield, India. Scientific Reports, 2022b, 12(1): 7533.
- Bachu, S. Sequestration of CO₂ in geological media: Criteria and approach for site selection in response to climate change. Energy Conversion and Management, 2000, 41(9): 953-970.
- Bai, G., Su, J., Li, X., et al. Step-by-step CO₂ injection pressure for enhanced coal seam gas recovery: A laboratory study. Energy, 2022, 260: 125197.
- Gaucher, E. C., Défossez, P. D. C., Bizi, M., et al. Coal laboratory characterisation for CO₂ geological storage. Energy Procedia, 2011, 4: 3147-3154.
- Godec, M., Koperna, G., Gale, J. CO₂-ECBM: A review of its status and global potential. Energy Procedia, 2014, 63: 5858-5869.
- Kling, G. W., Clark, M. A., Wagner, G. N., et al. The 1986 Lake Nyos gas disaster in Cameroon, west Africa. Science, 1987, 236(4798): 169-175.
- Lu, M., Connell, L. D. Swell of coal matrix induced by gas

sorption and its partition to pore-volume and bulk strainsa critical parameter for coal permeability. Paper ARMA-10-370 Presented at the 44th US Rock Mechanics Symposium and 5th US-Canada Rock Mechanics Symposium, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA, 27-30 June, 2010.

- Mazzotti, M., Pini, R., Storti, G. Enhanced coalbed methane recovery. The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 2009, 47(3): 619-627.
- Muther, T., Qureshi, H. A., Syed, F. I., et al. Unconventional hydrocarbon resources: Geological statistics, petrophysical characterization, and field development strategies. Journal of Petroleum Exploration Production Technology, 2022, 12: 1463-1488.
- Naveen, P., Asif, M., Ojha, K. Integrated fractal description of nanopore structure and its effect on CH₄ adsorption on Jharia coals, India. Fuel, 2018, 232: 190-204.
- Naveen, P., Asif, M., Ojha, K., et al. Sorption kinetics of CH₄ and CO₂ diffusion in coal: Theoretical and experimental study. Energy & Fuels, 2017, 31(7): 6825-6837.
- Ottiger, S., Pini, R., Storti, G., et al. Measuring and modeling the competitive adsorption of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂ on a dry coal. Langmuir, 2008, 24: 9531-9540.
- Pashin, J. C., Mcintyre, M. R. Temperature-pressure conditions in coalbed methane reservoirs of the Black Warrior Basin: Implications for carbon sequestration and enhanced coalbed methane recovery. International Journal of Coal Geology, 2003, 54: 167-183.
- Pekot, L. J., Reeves, S. R. Modeling coal matrix shrinkage and differential swelling with CO₂ injection for enhanced coalbed methane recovery and carbon sequestration applications. Topical Report, Contract No. DE-FC26-00NT40924, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC, 2002.
- Perera, M. S. A., Ranjith, P. G., Airey, D. W., et al. Sub- and super-critical carbon dioxide flow behavior in naturally fractured black coal: An experimental study. Fuel, 2011a, 90(11): 3390-3397.
- Perera, M. S. A., Ranjith, P. G., Choi, S. K., et al. A review of coal properties pertinent to carbon dioxide sequestration in coal seams: With special reference to Victorian brown coals. Environmental Earth Science, 2011b, 64(1): 223-235.
- Perera, M. S. A., Ranjith, P. G., Choi, S. K., et al. Estimation of gas adsorption capacity in coal: A review and an analytical study. International Journal of Coal Preparation and Utilization, 2012, 32(1): 25-55.
- Prabu, V., Mallick, N. Coalbed methane with CO₂ sequestration: An emerging clean coal technology in India. Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2015, 50: 229-244.

- Qin, X., Harpreet, S., Cai, J. Sorption characteristics in coal and shale: A review for enhanced methane recovery. Capillarity, 2022, 5(1): 1-11.
- Raoof, A., Nick, H. M., Hassanizadeh, S. M., et al. PoreFlow: A complex pore-network model for simulation of reactive transport in variably saturated porous media. Computer & Geosciences, 2013, 61: 160-174.
- Robertson, E. P., Christiansen, R. L. Modeling laboratory permeability in coal using sorption-induced-strain data. SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering, 2007, 10(3): 260-269.
- Schepers, K., Oudinot, A., Ripepi, N. Enhanced gas recovery and CO₂ storage in coal bed methane reservoirs: Optimized injected gas composition for mature basins of various coal rank. Paper SPE 139723 Presented at the SPE International Conference on CO₂ Capture, Storage, and Utilization, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, 10-12 November, 2010.
- Serikov, G., Wang, L., Asif, M., et al. Simulation evaluation of CO₂-ECBM potential in Karaganda Coal Basin in Kazakhstan. Paper SPE 209698 Presented at the SPE EuropEC-Europe Energy Conference, Madrid, Spain, 6-9 June, 2022.
- Shi, J. Q., Durucan, S. CO₂ storage in deep unminable coal seams. Oil and Gas Science & Technology, 2005, 60(3): 547-558.
- Xu, T., Tian, H., Zhu, H., et al. China actively promotes CO₂ capture, utilization and storage research to achieve carbon peak and carbon neutrality. Advances in Geo-Energy Research, 2022, 6(1): 1-3.
- Zhang, C., Wang, E., Li, B., et al. Laboratory experiments of CO₂-enhanced coalbed methane recovery considering CO₂ sequestration in a coal seam. Energy, 2023, 262: 125473.
- Zhang, L., Wang, Y., Miao, X., et al. Geochemistry in geologic CO₂ utilization and storage: A brief review. Advances in Geo-Energy Research, 2019, 3(3): 304-313.
- Zheng, S., Yao, Y., Elsworth, D., et al. Dynamic fluid interactions during CO_2 -ECBM and CO_2 sequestration in coal seams. Part 2: CO_2 -H₂O wettability. Fuel, 2020, 279: 118560.
- Zheng, S., Yao, Y., Sang, S., et al. Dynamic characterization of multiphase methane during CO₂-ECBM: An NMR relaxation method. Fuel, 2022, 324: 12456.
- Zhou, F., Yao, G., Tang, Z., et al. Influence and sensitivity study of matrix shrinkage and swelling on enhanced coalbed methane production and CO₂ sequestration with mixed gas injection. Energy Exploration and Exploitation, 2011, 29: 759-776.